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## Soil investigations for floating offshore windfarm
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## Specific purpose of SI for FOW

## Ultimate capacity

Sediment mobility

## Permanent displacements

Cyclic degradation
Overall slope stability

Scour potential
Need of sea floor
Cyclic displacements
preparation
Liquefaction analysis
Overall site conditions

Anchor
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| ISSUE | PARAMETERS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ultimate strength | Monotonic shear strength under various stress paths (strength anisotropy) <br> Cyclic shear strength under various combinations of average stress and cyclic amplitude for triaxial or simple shear stress paths <br> Sand: Peak effective angle of friction ( $\phi^{\prime}$ ), critical angle or phase transition angle, constant volume friction angle ( $\phi^{\prime}{ }_{c v}$ ) |
| Permanent displacements | Compressibility <br> Permeability <br> Permanent strains and pore pressures generated under various combinations of average stress and cyclic amplitude for triaxial stress paths or simple shear <br> Compressibility after cycles |
| Cyclic displacements | Cyclic shear strain versus cyclic shear stress for triaxial or simple shear stress paths <br> Initial cyclic shear modulus |
| Foundation stiffness | Cyclic shear strain versus cyclic shear stress for triaxial or simple shear stress paths <br> Shear modulus at very small distortion ( $\mathrm{G}_{\circ}$ or $\mathrm{G}_{\max }$ ) and evolution with distortion level <br> Damping |
| Soil reactions | Monotonic and cyclic shear strength <br> Compressibility under virgin loading and reloading <br> Permanent and cyclic strains and permanent pore pressures under various combinations of average stress and cyclic amplitude for triaxial or simple shear stress paths <br> Sea floor topography and morphology, presence of anomalies on the sea floor |
| Skirt penetration | Undrained shear strength <br> Remoulded shear strength (or sensitivity) <br> Drained angle of friction ( $\phi^{\prime}$ ) - Sand <br> Residual sand-steel or sand-concrete interface angle ( $\delta_{r}$ ) <br> Cone resistance ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) <br> Sea floor topography and morphology, presence of anomalies on the sea floor <br> Presence of blocks in the soil |

Table 5.2: Additional parameters that might be required for specific issues

| ISSUE | PARAMETERS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pile installation | Shear strength <br> Young's modulus ( $\mathrm{E}_{50}$ ) or shear modulus ( $\mathrm{G}_{50}$ ), or strain at $50 \%$ of ultimate strength $\left(\varepsilon_{50}\right)$ - Clays <br> Cone resistance ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) <br> Unconfined compressive strength (UCS $=\sigma_{c}$ ) - Rocks <br> Abrasiveness <br> Clay sensitivity <br> Pile wall rugosity (d) |
| Liquefaction potential | CPTU data ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ or $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f},} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ) <br> Grain size and fines content <br> Atterberg limits ( $w_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}$ ) and water content <br> Shear waves velocity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ |
| Scouring and erosion | Grain size for sands <br> Permeability <br> Shear strength for clays |
| Cable burial | Cone resistance ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) - Sands and clays <br> Density <br> Grain size and permeability - Sands <br> Rock abrasiveness <br> Thermal conductivity <br> Electrical resistivity <br> Velocity of compression $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ and shear $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ waves |

## Investigation tools and methods

## Geophysical

## Geotechnical



## From geological to ground model

## Initial geological model



## Seismo-stratigraphic model



## Site geological model



Geotechnical model

From DTS: general stratigraphy and lithology of the main geological formations; tectonic elements; main geological hazards and constraints

From preliminary Gphy : bathymetry digital ground model, stratigraphic model based on seismic with hypothesis on Vp.
=> definition of area of similar nature guidance for BH location
=> seismic feature DTS

Integrate result of preliminary geotech : improved velocity model; lithological characterization of layers; draft of soil province ; assign prelim geotech parameters

Define geotechnical units (gather layers of similar geotech parameters, distinguish internal variations inside seismic unit as weathering), define geotechnical design profiles, including OCR, Ko, Gmax ...)
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## Investigation planning



## Investigation content

TARGET PENETRATION

1st Gphy

1st Geotech

> MBES, SSS ( 50 to $100 \%$ overlap) Single or mulittrace seismic (boomer sparker, typically 250 m interline
> Sub-bottom profiles

Seabed CPT, vibrocore BH with in-witu testing : typically on 20 to $30 \%$ WTG, at least one BH by geological province, 30 to 50 m depth depending soil/anchor type


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gphy

Grid refinement

$2^{\text {nd }}$
Geotech

> MBES, SSS ( $100 \%$ overlap)
> Single or mulittrace seismic (boomer sparker, $30-50 \mathrm{~min}$
> Sub-bottom profiles, Pinger or chrip Option for seismic réfraction

## DRAG ANCHOR: <br> 1 CPT per anchor location, if <br> heterogeneity: 1 CPT on penetration path 1 sampling per anchor cluster

```
ANCHOR PILE :
```

1 CPT per pile

1 CPT+1 BH per anchor cluster Or 1BH with alternate sampling/CPT

## SUCTION PILE : <br> 1 CPT per pile

1 Tbar + 1 VST (vane shear test) +1 BH
(sampling) per anchor group

## GRAVITY PILE

1 CPT per location 1 BH with sampling per group

Typically 50 m for seismic,

Design penetration + max (20\% ; 0,5 width)

Design penetration +2 pile diameter

Design penetration
+0,5 caisson diameter

1,5 anchor diamter and > 2 m below skirt

## Challenges of FOWF investigation

High water depth :

* Above \#200m: spacing between MBES/SSS lines shall be reduced with waterdepht (increase survey lenght)
* Below \#200m: MBES/SSS resolution can become too low for feature detection. Would need deep fish or AUV.
* Often more geohazard on continental slope (slope instability, prograding canyon...)
* Conventionnel drilling becomes even more expensive with water depth (time for drillstring setting and tool handling). Only few seabed drilling unit can reach $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$ in soil and are heavy/complex to deploy.


Mulitple anchor layout variants : late project knowledge of anchor locationsRisky development : temptation to limit preliminary geotech to vibrocore/piston core : limited penetration (\#10m).
Often normally consolidated and sensitive soil, more difficult to sample and test without disturbance
Effect of thin soil layer on buried chain geometry / resistance : what parameter to consider ?
[geotechnical design] Multidirectional loading still not fully addressed in design method

No specifically adressed in current recommendations ... still room for improvement


